

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

AUSTIN. TEXAS

BEIGEO ON Hama

Hon. Stanley Timine County Attorney Herrison County Marshall, Texas

Dour Sir:

Attention: Mr. J. M. Henderson, Jr. Assistant County Attorney

Opinion No. 0-1578
Re: Is the constable entitled under Article 1055, U. C. P., to half costs on that part of the time a defendant remains in jail or works for the county when he so discharges part of the fine and pays off a part?

Your request for opinion upon the above question has been received by this department.

We quote from your letter as follows:

"This orfice finds that in many instences, the Constable places a defendant
in jail on a profine, the defendant remains
in jail a part of the time and pays the
small prorated balance owed and is released.
The Constable, as a result, retains a very
small part of the payment. Under Article
1055, the Constable is due, from county funds,
half costs when defendant is confined to
joil or works on county reads or public works.
If he works, say for example, eight days, and
pays a small fine belance to satisfy his judgment, in the Constable entitled to half his
costs, prorated for the time the defendant
worked or remained in jail?"

House Bill No. 205, 46th Legislature of Texas, amending Article 1055, Ocde of Criminal Procedure of Texas, reads as follows:

"De it encoted by the Legislature of the State of Texas:

"Section 1. That Article 1055 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of Texas, 1925, be emended so that it shall be eafter read as follows:

Hon. Stanley Timmins, Page 2

"Article 1055.

"The county shell not be lieble to the officer and witness having costs in a misdemeandr case where defendant pays his fine end costs. The county shell be liable for one-half of the fees of the officers of the Court, when the defendant fails to pay his fine and lays his fine out in the county jail or discharges the same by means of working such fine out on the county roads or on any county project. And to pay such half of costs, the County Clerk shell issue his warrant on the County Treesurer in favor of such officer to be paid outof the Road and Bridge Fund or other funds not otherwise appropriated."

"Sec. 2. The fact that the Forty-fifth Legislature, at its Regular Session, emoted House Hill Ho. 727 containing the exact provisions of this Ant, and the further fact that doubt has been cast on the velidity of said enegtment by reason of the Attorney General's ruling that the caption thereof was and is defective, rendering said ensetment unconstitutional, and the ract that this Bill, as prosented, is merely a correction of House Mill No. 727 eforesaid and carries out the will and intent of the Legislature of this State as heretofore expressed, create an emergency and an imperative public necessity that the Constitutional Rule, requiring bills to be read on three several days in each House, be and the same is hereby suspended, and that this Act shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage, and it is so enacted.

"Approved May 15, 1939, "Effective May 15, 1939.

Opinion No. 0-23 of this Department held House Bill No. 727, Chapter 488, General and Special Laws, 45th Legislature of Texas, as attempting to smend Article 1055, Code of Criminal Procedure, was unconstitutional because of a defective caption.

The caption of said Rouse Bill No. 727, read as follows:

"An est to smend Article 1055 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of Texas minimizers relating after to the fees paid constables; and declaring an emergency."

The body of the set was as follows:

Mon. Stenley Timmins, Page 3

"Section 1. Article 1055 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of Texas shell hereafter read as follows:

"'Article 1055. The county shall not be liable to the officer and witness having costs in a misdemeanor case where defendent pays his fine and costs. The county shall be liable for only one-half thereof when defendant has been tried and committed, acquitted, or found guilty and the case appealed; and to pay such half of such legal costs as may have been so taxed, not including commissions, the county judge shall issue his warrant upon the county treasurer in favor of the proper party, and the same shall be paid out of the road and bridge fund or other funds not otherwise appropriated. No costs shall be collected by such officer or witness where the case is dismissed."

Prior to the encotment of House Bill No. 727 of the 45th Legislature of Texas, said Article 1055, Gode of Criminal Procedure of Texas, read as follows:

"Article 1055. Helf costs paid officers The county shell be liable to each officer and
witness having costs in a misdementor once for
only one-helf thereof where the defendant has
satisfied the fine and costs adjudged against
him in full by labor in the workhouse, on
the county farm, on the public roads or upon
any public works of the county; and to pay
such helf of such legal costs as may have
been so taxed, not including commissions,
the county judge shell issue his warrant upon
the county judge shell issue his warrant upon
the county treasurer in favor of the proper
party, and the same shall be paid out of the
road and bridge fund or other funds not otherwise appropriated. (Acts 1895, page 179)."
(underscoring ours)

We now eall your attention to the fact that the words "in full" which are found in the above quoted article, are eliminated from anddo not appear in House Bill No. 727, A5th Lagislature of Texas, and House Bill No. 205, A6th Legislature of Texas.

In the case of Ex Parte Hill, 15 SW 2nd 14, the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas definitely recognizes the right of a convict to serve part of his time in jail and pay the balance in cash.

In a letter opinion written August 20, 1935, by Hon. Leon Moses, Assistant Attorney General, to Hon.

Hon. Stanley Timins, Page 4

D. B. Wood, County Attorney, Williamson County (Vol. 368, Letter Opinions of the Attorney General of Texas, page 656), it was held by this Department that in any case in Justice courts where the fine and costs are less than \$30.00, the proper and responsible way to allow credit for time spent in Jail would be to divide the amount of the fine and costs by ten, which is the minimum number of days that the defendent must serve before being released under Article 920, Code of Criminal Procedure.

Opinion No. 0-1015, of this Department, written by Hon. Benjamin Woodell, Assistant Attorney General, construing Article 920, Code of Criminal Procedure of Texas, clearly recognizes theright of a defendant to lay part of his fine cut in jail and pay the balance in cash. We quote from Mr. Woodell's opinion as follows:

"In your letter you used an illustration of a person who was convicted of a misdemeanor (in justice court) and his penalty affixed at a fine of \$1.00 and costs of \$13.00, making a total of \$14.00, stated the convict had served four days in jail, wished to pay the balance of his fine and costs in each, and thereby obtain immediate relief. In this specific instance we are of the opinion that defendant should be allowed credit of \$1.40 per day, which at four days would amount to \$5.60. Subtracting the \$5.60 as jail credit, from the total amount of \$14.00 would leave a balance of \$8.40, which should be paid in order to obtain the reliense of a defendant from custody."

You are therefore respectfully edvised that it is the opinion of this department that your question should be enswered in the affirmative and it is so answered.

Trusting that this satisfactorily enswers your inquiry and with best regards, we are

Yery truly yours

ATTORNEY OFNERAL OF TEXAS

/s/ Wm. J. Fanning Assistant

WJF: AW: JPb

APPROVED DEC. 1, 1939 /s/ Gerald G. Mann ATTORNEY GROUNAL OF TRIAS

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS This opinion considered and approved in limited conference